1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fax: 877.574.9411 Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class		
10		ES DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	ANGELA POTIKYAN, on behalf of) Case No.: 2:13-cv-06237-JEM	
13	herself and all others similarly situated,	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S	
15	Plaintiff,) INACCURATE REPORT (Dkt. No. 162)	
16	v.) Court Room: 630 (6 th Floor) Judge: Hon. John E. McDermott	
17	JS DREAMS, INC. and CRISTCAT) Judge: Hon. John E. McDermott)	
18	CALABASAS, INC. (individually and collectively doing business as JOHNNY ROCKETS - COMMONS)))	
19	AT CALABASAS), and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,))	
20	Defendants.))	
21)	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S INACCURATE RE	- 1 - EPORT (Dkt. No. 162)	
	Case No. 2:13-cv-06237-JEM		

I. <u>Introduction And Purpose Of This Report</u>

On May 30, 2017, Plaintiff filed "Plaintiff's Status Report Re: Administration Of Settlement." Dkt. No. 160. Plaintiff stands by that May 30, 2017 Report.

At 11:59 p.m. on May 31, 2016 Defendant purported to file a document with the ECF entry entitled "STATUS REPORT filed by Defendant Cristcat Calabasas, Inc." Dkt. No. 161. However, the main document filed as Dkt. No. 161 appears to be a complaint from a completely unrelated matter.

On Friday, June 2, 2017, Defendant filed "DEFENDANT'S AMENDED STATUS REPORT of Administration of Settlement filed by Defendant Cristcat Calabasas, Inc." Dkt. No. 162. In its June 2, 2017 report Defendant misrepresents the information requested by Plaintiff's counsel.

Thus, while Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel stand by their May 30, 2017 Report, this filing is intended to respond to the inaccurate portrayal in Defendant's June 2, 2017 Report concerning the information requested by Plaintiff's counsel.

II. The Information Requested By Plaintiff's Counsel

On May 3, 2017, Defendant provided a single page document purporting to account for the following 4 months: December 2016 and January, February and March 2017.

On May 3, 2017, the same day that Plaintiff's counsel received the document, Plaintiff's counsel requested to speak about its contents. See Exhibit 1 emails attached hereto. Defendant's counsel did not make himself available until May 5, 2017. See Exhibit 1 emails attached hereto.

When they spoke on May 5, 2017, Plaintiff's counsel requested from Defendant's counsel information concerning the following:

- "614 · Consultant Expense 2,000.00"
- "615 · Contributions 725.00"
 - "651 · Legal & Accounting 4,000.00"

1	"655 · Lease Expense 792.86"	
2	"665 · Office Expense 4,891.04"	
3	"686 · Repairs 2,063.62"	
4	"690.1 · Wages & Salaries 108,350.82"	
5	In addition, as part of the settlement, Plaintiff agreed to an initial set-aside of	
6	\$15,000 for closing costs which amount was previously retained by Defendant (and	
7	thus not paid to Plaintiff). It does not appear that Defendant expended anywhere	
8	near \$15,000 in closing costs. Thus, to the extent closing costs are less than	
9	\$15,000, Plaintiff will pursue those funds unless that matter is also resolved.	
10	Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel pointed out a purported expense of \$12,000	
11	described as "449 • Management 12,000.00" which Plaintiff intends to challenge.	
12	Plaintiff and Defendant were to divide profits 40% to Plaintiff, 60% to Defendant,	
13	pursuant to the Settlement. Yet, before dividing profits, Defendant is double-	
14	dipping by siphoning a so-called "Management" fee of \$12,000, thereby depriving	
15	Plaintiff of its share of this \$12,000. Plaintiff's 40% share of this \$12,000 alone	
16	totals \$4,800, which Plaintiff has been deprived because Defendant siphoned the	
17	entire \$12,000 before a profit division was made.	
18		
19	Respectfully submitted,	
20		
21	DATED: June 4, 2017 CHANT & COMPANY A Professional Law Corporation	
22	Trioressional Law Corporation	
23		
24	By: <u>/S/ – Chant Yedalian</u> Chant Yedalian	
25	Counsel For Plaintiff and the Class	
26		
27		
28		
	- 3 -	